1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 Northern District of California 4 5 SETH ROSENFELD, No. C 11-2131 MEJ 6 7 Plaintiff, ORDER SCHEDULING PARTIES 8 TO MEET AND CONFER ON v. **NOVEMBER 14, 2012** 9 FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION AND UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF 10 JUSTICE. 11 Defendants. 12 13 The Court has reviewed the parties' October 4, 2012 joint statement regarding the status of this matter. Because it appears that both parties (and particularly Plaintiff) plan to raise numerous issues by way of cross motions for summary judgment, the Court finds that requiring the parties to 15 meet and confer in person regarding these disputed issues will help resolve them, or at least some of 16 them, in a more efficient and inexpensive manner. The parties are therefore ORDERED to appear in 17 **Courtroom B** on the 15th Floor of 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California on 18 **November 14, 2012** at **10:00 a.m.** for an in person meet and confer session. Both parties should be 19 prepared to discuss any pending issues that they believe may potentially be briefed in a motion for 20 21 summary judgment. The Court will not permit any dispute to be raised during summary judgment that was not specifically discussed at this meet and confer session unless a party can establish good 22 cause for not raising the particular issue. 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 25 Dated: November 1, 2012 26 27 28 Maria-Elena James Chief United States Magistrate Judge